A AND
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) [ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 1150036 DATE: <u>03/20/2007</u> FACILITY NAME: GATE PRECAST COMPANY FACILITY LOCATION: 1199 ORANGE AVE. N		ART: <u>~1:45 pm</u>
SARASOTA 34236-		
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: JEFFREY NOLAN	PHONE: (941)95	7-0270
CONTACT NAME: Jeffrey Nolan	PHONE: (
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2006 ENTITLE	—	<u>8/28/2011</u> end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (che IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMP	LIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-CO	OMPLIANCE
 PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREM</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) <u>Stack Emissions</u> Were visible emissions tests conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible er During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collea at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loa unless such rate is unachievable in practice?4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) opet to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)a) Was the batching operation in operation during b) During the visible emissions test, was the batchin duration?	site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Re , and other enclosed storage and conveyi nissions to 5 percent opacity?	Yes No ng equipment Yes No Yes No e silo conducted Yes No ons per hour rate, Yes No Yes No or? (If answer Yes No or then Yes No Yes No hing rate and Yes No hich is separate t collector Yes

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? [Yes] No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ⊠ appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠ only one box.</i>)
2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> ,

then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	Yes No
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	- Yes No
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	- 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	- Yes No
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	Yes No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🗌 Yes 🖾 No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? Yes No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? [Yes] No

Debbie Telemeco-Anders, ES II

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

03/20/2007

Date of Inspection

~ 2007

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Follow-up to 03/19/2007 inspection which found MNC -> Field Warning Notice to Jeff Nolan, Gate Precast. Unconfined particulate matter emissions from yard area observed during inspection. Facility watered driving area only. Pictures taken.